## Ekonomická revue Central European Review of Economic Issues

**Title** Fill here, please, the title of the paper you are reviewing

1. Topic
Is the topic relevant to the journal area of interest? Is it contemporary and in the prominent
interest of researchers?
2. Title
Does it put well the paper's objective? Is it clear? Is it sufficiently short?
3. Abstract + keywords
Are there included all required components in the abstract (theme, goal, procedure, main
results)? Is a true picture of the paper given there? Are the keywords appropriately chosen?
results): Is a true picture of the paper given there: The the keywords appropriately chosen:
Г
4. Goal
Is the goal explicitly stated within the Introduction? Is its formulation clear and
unambiguous?
5. Structure
Is the paper's composition coherent? Is it implied by the goal of the paper?
6. Tools and methods
Are the tools (methods) the author uses reasonable and well described? Could you suggest
any other perspective of the problem that might increase the reliability of the results?
and outer perspective of the processin that major more and remaining of the results.
7. Discussion and Conclusions
7. Discussion and Conclusions
Is it related to/justified by the results presented before? Do you consider them as coherent?
Is it related to/justified by the results presented before? Do you consider them as coherent?
Is it related to/justified by the results presented before? Do you consider them as coherent?  8. Literature
Is it related to/justified by the results presented before? Do you consider them as coherent?
Is it related to/justified by the results presented before? Do you consider them as coherent?  8. Literature

What is the level of author's knowledge? Is the author accurate? Does he/she utilize all recent
What is the level of author's knowledge? Is the author accurate? Does he/she utilize all recent
contributions relevant to the selected course of study? Does the author use all terms properly?
10. Length
Is the length of the paper adequate to the significance of the topic? Can you suggest
shortening the paper without losing the added value? Specify. Or, would you prefer to extend
particular part of the paper to provide the reader with more details?
11. Figures, tables
Does the author use all such items suitably? Are both the legend and notations complete
clear?
12 Whiting style
12. Writing style Is it clear and understandable? What is the overall level of the language used?
is it clear and understandable? What is the overall level of the language used?
13. Rating
Overall rating of the paper in comparison to other papers of the journal?
14. Further comments on the paper (feel free to use an additional page)

## **Recommendation** (mark only one)

- N Not suitable for publishing
- R Resubmit after major improvements
- M Only minor corrections are required
  - Do you prefer to evaluate the paper again? YES NO
- Y The paper is suitable to be published without any change

**Special comments to the editor** (confident)